

Do counterarguments need to be addressed?

(b) (5)



Have other federal entities been consulted?

(b) (5)



From: Daniel Jorjani [mailto:daniel.jorjani@sol.doi.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, October 18, 2017 4:47 PM
To: Grant, Eric (ENRD) <EGrant@ENRD.USDOJ.GOV>
Subject: Fwd: MBTA

"Jorjani, Daniel" <daniel.jorjani@sol.doi.gov>

From: "Jorjani, Daniel" <daniel.jorjani@sol.doi.gov>
Sent: Wed Oct 18 2017 16:44:05 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: David Bernhardt <(b) (6)>
CC: Gary Lawkowski <gary.lawkowski@sol.doi.gov>
Subject: Fwd: MBTA

I was emailing Eric during the Monuments meeting re MBTA status. His robust comments are below. CC'ing Gary.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Grant, Eric (ENRD) <Eric.Grant@usdoj.gov>
Date: Wed, Oct 18, 2017 at 5:55 PM
Subject: RE: MBTA
To: Daniel Jorjani <daniel.jorjani@sol.doi.gov>

Dan,

Following please find ENRD's questions and comments, collected from a wide range of Division lawyers.

As you know, Jeff is recused from this matter, so please direct replies to me.

Thanks,
Eric

Why an M-Opinion?

(b) (5)

A large rectangular area of the document is redacted with a solid gray fill, covering the response to the first question.

What do you propose that DOJ do with this opinion?

(b) (5)

A rectangular area of the document is redacted with a solid gray fill, covering the response to the second question.

W

(b) (5)

A large rectangular area of the document is redacted with a solid gray fill, covering the response to the third question.

How will Interior's interpretation impact environmental-law prosecutions generally?

(b) (5)

A large rectangular area of the document is redacted with a solid gray fill, covering the response to the fourth question.

Do counterarguments need to be addressed?

(b) (5)

A large rectangular area of the document is redacted with a solid gray fill, covering the response to the fifth question.

Have other federal entities been consulted?

(b) (5)

From: Daniel Jorjani [mailto:daniel.jorjani@sol.doi.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, October 18, 2017 4:47 PM
To: Grant, Eric (ENRD) <EGrant@ENRD.USDOJ.GOV>
Subject: Fwd: MBTA

David Bernhardt <(b) (6)>

From: David Bernhardt <(b) (6)>
Sent: Wed Oct 18 2017 16:48:51 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: "Jorjani, Daniel" <daniel.jorjani@sol.doi.gov>
Subject: Re: MBTA

Well we have a bit of work to do. Change is not easy.

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 18, 2017, at 6:44 PM, Jorjani, Daniel <daniel.jorjani@sol.doi.gov> wrote:

I was emailing Eric during the Monuments meeting re MBTA status. His robust comments are below. CC'ing Gary.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Grant, Eric (ENRD) <Eric.Grant@usdoj.gov>
Date: Wed, Oct 18, 2017 at 5:55 PM
Subject: RE: MBTA
To: Daniel Jorjani <daniel.jorjani@sol.doi.gov>

Dan,

Following please find ENRD's questions and comments, collected from a wide range of Division lawyers.

As you know, Jeff is recused from this matter, so please direct replies to me.

Thanks,
Eric

Why an M-Opinion?

(b) (5)